

To the Council of Europe

Demand for the termination of Finland's membership of the Council of Europe and expulsion from the Council of Europe on the basis of an investigation into human rights violations and widespread corruption in the State of Finland

Initiators of the claim:

- 1) Jaana Kavonius
lawyer, LL.M., leader of the Truth Party
Finnish Legal Security Centre Finland, Chairman of the Board
asylum seeker
- 2) Truth Party r.p.
- 3) Finnish Legal Protection Centre
- 4) Yrittäjien tuki ry and its chairperson Liisa Mariapori
www.velallistentuki.fi and mariapori@luukku.com

Address for service of Kavonius, the Truth Party and the Legal Protection Centre:

Licensing Lawyer, LL.M. Lauri Saarela
Turuntie 11, FI-23800 Laitila
tel. 040 581 2281
e-mail s-posti lauri@lakisaarela.com

1. Requirements

Requirements

- 1) The Council of Europe shall request a report from the State of Finland on the extensive violation of Member States' obligations, intentional violations of Council of Europe resolutions, ECHR judgments, human rights conventions and obligations under the Geneva Convention on Refugees between 1992 and 2024.
- 2) The Council of Europe must expel the State of Finland from its membership of the Council of Europe for serious, large-scale human rights violations and deliberate human rights violations and corruption in public authorities, **for failing to implement Council of Europe resolutions since 2007 and for imposing prison sentences contrary to the ECHR, ECHR and Council of Europe decisions in order to prevent the exercise of freedom of expression; to deliberately imprison an innocent opposition politician Jaana Kavonius, to frame at least 500 innocent people for imprisonment per year, prevent investigations of 120,000-160,000 serious crimes per year**, and Finland has for 30 years carried out processes prohibited by Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention with illegal procedures and pre-arranged legal losses.

2. Grounds for dismissal and subject matter of the request for clarification

The chairman of the Truth Party, the leader of the opposition party, lawyer Jaana Kavonius, the Truth Party r.p. and the Finnish Legal Protection Centre, as well as Yrittäjien tuki ry and its director Liisa Mariapori (a Finnish politician who has won the Finnish state in the ECHR) submit a joint demand for clarification and dismissal of the membership of the State of Finland on the following grounds:

- 1) **Widespread corruption** is carried out in the official organisations of the state of Finland and Finnish municipalities and brutal violence, attempted murder, unlawful threats, the obstruction of claims, complaints and other legal remedies are carried out in order to subjugate victims to serious crime through crimes committed by authorities.
- 2) **The State of Finland carries out organised political persecutions of freedom of expression and, since 26.3.2024, has illegally detained the leader of the Truth Party, Jaana Kavonius, in Finland and subjected her to unjustified prison sentences contrary to the resolutions of the Council of Europe, the ECHR judgments and the Geneva Convention, and subjected her to criminal offences so that:**
 - A. She has been imprisoned as an innocent person for obstructing freedom of expression and the exercise of political rights in a procedure prohibited by Section 3:6.3 of the Finnish Coercive Measures Act, in absentia, during legal impediments to illness and legal impediment caused by an asylum application, by completely blocking the hearing of written evidence, the entire personal evidence she has appointed in support of her innocence and her defence against framed charges and detention, violating and abolishing all the minimum rights laid down in Article 6 of the Convention on Human Rights.
 - B. Kavonius is and has been subjected to charges in the District Court of Länsi-Uusimaa as an innocent person and on political grounds since 27.3.2023 by completely preventing proof of innocence, preventing of examining all the more than 100 persons named as witnesses of her innocence and **subjecting her to political persecution as unjustified**, contrary to the decisions of the Council of Europe since 2007, the decision of the ECHR ruling Mariapori v. Finland and she is wanted to be sentenced to more than 5 years in prison to prevent her the lawful exercise of freedom of expression and as political persecution. She is wanted for the above-mentioned offences and the prosecutors carry out prohibited prison sentences since autumn 2023.
 - C. **The imprisonment of Kavonius in absentia was carried out on 28.6.2024 in the same way that Russia carried out the imprisonment of Yuliya, the widow of Alexei Navalny 9.7.2024.** Russia has been expelled from membership of the Council of Europe on the grounds of human rights violations, and Finland must be expelled on the same grounds.
 - D. **Kavonius has now been held innocent in custody for more than 3.5 months, and illegal imprisonment is maintained by deliberately preventing the procedure laid down in sections 3:15 and 2:13 of the Finnish Coercive Measures Act**, according to

which the release of a prisoner must be reviewed at the request of the prisoner **without delay and no later than within 4 days** of the request being made. Kavonius' claim was filed on 29.5.2024 and the deadline for processing it was 2.6.2024. The non-processing of the claim and detention have already now continued for more than 50 days.

- E. Kavonius has made a new claim on 1.7.2024, but it too has not been considered and no hearing has been held and no date has been set.
 - F. Prior to this, she has been subjected to aggravated crime for more than 30 years by deliberately obstructing the investigations of **more than 100,000 serious crimes against her, her children, her party and her association, beating her to hospital on 11.12.2019 as shown in photographs and targeting her and her children with 5 attempted murders by car**, several other attempted murders, her child Martti for 2 years of brutal violence, aggravated domestic sabotage, prevention of compensation, artificial enforcement and justification of subordination to offences on several grounds of discrimination.
- 3) **Finland has deliberately violated** its human rights obligations, the Geneva Convention on Refugees, all mandatory obligations of the General Data Protection Regulation and the rights of data subjects **for more than 30 years, in a completely different way than in the other Nordic countries.**
 - 4) Since 2007, Finland has deliberately and systematically violated the decisions of the Court of Human Rights, in particular the violation of the human right to freedom of expression, **the decisions of the ECHR in the case Mariapori vs. Finland, Selistö vs. Finland, Fortum vs. Finland and the Council of Europe decisions**, which, since 2007, **have prohibited the use of prison sentences also as a suspended sentence for freedom of expression.**
 - 5) Finland carry out prison sentences in contravention of the Council of Europe resolution already adopted in 2007 and inter alia: For more than a year now, as of 27.3.2023, Kavonius has been subjected to more than 5 years of unwarranted imprisonment, a wanted warrant and unlawful detention in absentia on 26.3.2024 under the alleged offence of "defamation", although the ECHR and the Council of Europe have obliged Member States to abolish imprisonment from the Criminal Code as a punishment for defamation offences and other offences relating to the exercise of freedom of expression, and even though Kavonius's information is not false in her publications, but constitutes a particularly acceptable exercise of freedom of expression to reveal, detect and stop crimes committed by the criminal networks and the cult operating crimes together with the prosecutors and police.
 - 6) Finland implements processes prohibited by the Convention on Human Rights that result in **unjustified imprisonment for at least 500 people every year.**
 - 7) There are networks in Finland, prohibited by Articles 13, 14 and 17 to 18 of the Human Rights Convention, consisting of certain same judges, police officers, prosecutors, other officials, lawyers and persons committing serious fraud, official offences and forgery offences who:

- A. **frame innocent people in prison** in such a way that **at least 500 innocent people sit in Finnish prisons every year** and solutions (jail, fines, staging fake enforcement debts) are implemented by preventing the processing of written evidence and personal evidence of innocence altogether.
- B. **operate as an organised criminal group** prohibited by the Finnish Criminal Code (Criminal Code, **RL 6:5 § 12 mom.**)
- C. prevent investigations into serious crimes committed by members of the network in unlawful processes. On an annual basis, **the Finnish Police and Prosecution Service prevent investigations of approximately 120,000-160,000 crimes every year, the number of blocked criminal investigations was already 43% in 2018, and the prevention of normal preliminary investigations and consideration of charges is based on a secret memorandum prepared by the police and prosecutors on 12.12.2018, which calls on the police and prosecutors to carry out such deliberate obstruction in pairs, and the network's decisions are drawn up entirely without pre-trial investigation material, falsifying pre-trial investigation material professionally using the OCR image processing system, without processing evidence, deliberately erroneous in content and in such a way that decisions drawn up on behalf of prosecutors are in fact made by police officers in the network.**
- D. **transfer patient data to third parties (e.g. the cult of Juha Ruohonen, which operates with the help of the police) by means of judicial e-mails, prevent the statutory right of access to information and use them to commit crimes in violation of patient protection on the Internet, and prevent compensation to victims of crime provided for in Article 82 of the GDPR and, before that, the Personal Data Act, even though data protection norms entitle them to "effective judicial protection".**
- 8) Certain police officers, prosecutors and judges manufacture hundreds of unlawful solutions tailored to the network's crimes targeting the same victims. Decisions blocking the investigations render the victims of the network deprived of human rights and prevent all legal remedies for the victim.
- 9) **A cult led by a man named Juha Ruohonen, who carries out aggravated fraud and aggravated rape, has been operating in Finland for 30 years with the help of networks police officers and prosecutors,** and certain police officers and prosecutors actively assist in its crimes. **The cult also has a secret court, prohibited by the Constitution and human rights treaties, where crimes against target persons are planned and where Ruohonen has acted as a prosecutor and judge.**
- 10) **The decision of Deputy Prosecutor General Jukka Rappe and State Prosecutor Anssi Hiivala of 31.5.2023 has deliberately subjected** the leader of the Truth Party, Jaana Kavonius, all party members and people defined as "unvaccinated" to **murder, violence, rape, denial of medical care, called as a whore, subjected to sexual violence and all crimes,** claiming that crimes are allowed on the grounds of this one-party leadership and "non-vaccination".
- 11) Subjecting anyone to crimes on the grounds of belonging to a certain group is an **offence of discrimination prohibited by the ECHR, ethnic agitation and the grounds for discrimination are political opinion, professional activity, religion (Christianity and opposition to cult activities), freedom of speech and opinion, gender and health (grounds**

for discrimination are that Kavonius is the female leader of the Truth Party in Finland who has not taken the so-called coronavirus vaccine).

- 12)** This decision of 31.5.2023 also conceals the names of the police officers who prepared the information for it, and Kavonius has been subjected to hundreds of police and prosecutors' similar decisions made by same network police officers blocking the investigation into aggravated crime and her being called a whore, feces, witch and other degrading titles, as ***well as the submission and dissemination of sexual harassment, rape and pornographic material and threats of murder (including murder by stoning).*** Subjugation and the dissemination of such messages are alleged in the decision to be permitted as a 'lawful criticism of Kavonius' "profession, trade and politics".
- 13)** **The Member States of the European Council must not make decisions that are subordinate to crime, nor can calling someone a whore, and exhortation to rape and murder be justified by lying to be her profession, politics and lying it to be her livelihood. Human rights violations are widespread and have lasted for more than 30 years.**
- 14)** The population has been coerced, misled and threatened with the so-called "corona vaccines" in the implementation and marketing of "coronavirus vaccinations" intentionally. Criminal investigations are prevented *even though several doctors in Finland have stated in writing that:*
- A. *In the evenings, Minister Krista Kiuru made threatening phone calls to expert doctors and pressured them to lie about the properties of "vaccines" that they do not have.*
 - B. *The "corona vaccine" does not help with any disease, does not prevent infection (although infection prevention was the main promise in the implementation of injections and decisions) and it is a vaccine against "fear", which is not a medical basis under the Communicable Diseases Act, and that it is a "political fog" i.e. gross fraud carried out globally, where hundreds of billions of euros in criminal proceeds have been obtained through the sale of ineffective experimental injections and the excess mortality rate after the "vaccine" is markedly different in all countries that have participated in the "vaccinations".*
- 15) *No law allows for such a system based on criminal offences by public authorities and corruption within organisations for any member of the Council of Europe.*
- 16)** **Since Finland, its officials and state leadership have deliberately covered up criminal activities for more than 30 years and lied to the Council of Europe and supervisory authorities in order to commit serious crime for decades on this scale, Finland is not entitled to remain a member of the Council of Europe,** but must be dismissed on the grounds presented by Jaana Kavonius, the Truth Party, the Finnish Centre for Legal Protection, Liisa Mariapori and Yrittäjän tuki ry, and **the comprehensive evidence collected and published 19.4.2024 by Kavonius:**
<https://drive.proton.me/urls/YBD9YB0Z10#dTHOmqnFzFHR>

This statement of claim includes photographs of Kavonius taken in the emergency room on 11.12.2019, as well as photographs of her home before and after the aggravated destruction carried out by the authorities' mafia network, as well as other evidence of facts. We demand that these images, the evidence and the serious crimes proven by it receive international

attention. It is the duty of the Council of Europe to consider our demand for Finland's expulsion with this evidence.

3. Violation of the Council of Europe resolution by the State of Finland by applying prohibited imprisonment

The Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe has adopted **Resolution 1577 (2007) Towards decriminalisation of defamation**. It highlights the role of freedom of expression as the cornerstone of a democratic society and the role of the press in exercising freedom of expression, and highlights the harmful nature of threats and repression of properly functioning media. In paragraph 17, the General Assembly calls on the Member States for **inter alia, to remove prison sentences from defamation legislation without undue delay**.

In addition, the ECHR has stated in that resolution that the General Assembly of the Council of Europe has urged member states to remove the threat of imprisonment from their defamation legislation, even if it is not applied in practice (e.g. Niskasaari and others of Finland 6.7.2010, paragraphs 76 to 77)."

Finland has not implemented the resolution and the changes required by it since 2007 and, on the other hand, the changes in the written norms have not led to any changes in sentencing practices, as evidenced by the criminalisation of Jaana Kavonius, the obstruction of evidence, unlawful imprisonment and the deliberate pursuit of a prohibited prison demand of more than 5 years in 2023-2024 as such, and especially against an innocent political leader.

The mere fact that, contrary to the resolution and the ECHR ruling, an opposition politician Jaana Kavonius is being demanded to be punished for the exercise of freedom of expression by a punishment similar to that prescribed in Finland for manslaughter proves that the conduct is a serious violation of human rights and that Finland is deliberately violating its human rights obligations and is committing against Kavonius the same kind of crime that Russia is inflicting on the widow of Alexei Navalny, who has been imprisoned in absentia. Russia has been expelled from the Council because of human rights violations similar to the Finnish violations.

4. The reason for the persecution is the evidence of corruption revealed by Kavonius

Jaana Kavonius has been persecuted in Finland because of her political opinion and because she possesses significant evidence of widespread corruption in the Finnish police department, prosecution service, judiciary and state leadership and the so-called corona vaccines. Kavonius has fled Finland on 7.3.2024 and has to live at a secret address. "Corona vaccines" do not have any of the properties promised to them. In addition, Kavonius has uncovered an extensive fraud network operating in Finland with the help of certain some police officers, prosecutors and judges. Kavonius has published the evidence on her own website:

<https://drive.proton.me/urls/YBD9YB0Z10#dTHOmqnFzFHR>.

5. The State of Finland has illegally imprisoned the innocent Jaana Kavonius by preventing proof of her innocence

All of Kavonius' defence and other rights guaranteed by Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention are blocked in Finland in illegal processes. Prosecutors Annukka Juntunen, Mika Mäkelä and Heikki Kohijoki have apparently deliberately included false information in their detention request. Among other things, they deliberately lie that:

- 1) On 11.12.2019, Kavonius would only have suffered "surface scratches" with a violent crime, even though the injuries are serious, two molars broken, the beating caused psychological shock, visible contusions all over the body (the photographs as evidence) and 3 months of disability.
- 2) Kavonius' record of the crimes of his opponents would be "false" even though it is demonstrably correct and **those who imprisoned her have prevented proof that the information is correct.**
- 3) Kavonius would not have provided evidence. The shipping and recipient records of the documents submitted by Kavonius show that Kavonius has provided the evidence to the police, to prosecutors and her lawyer Saarela provided it to oppose detention, **but the evidence was and has been blocked for 10 years.**
- 4) A prison sentence is allegedly imposed on her claiming that her "act on crime" would be "something else" than the exercise of freedom of expression, even though her act is merely a lawful exercise of freedom of expression and expressing a political opinion.
- 5) The application for asylum and Kavonius' sickness impediment would allegedly have no significance for imprisonment, although they are the ones that matter, since Section 3:6.3 of the Finnish Coercive Measures Act completely prohibits imprisonment as a punishment for the exercise of freedom of expression and detention is completely prohibited during the period of impediment to illness and an application for asylum based on the Geneva Convention.

Kavonius has been imprisoned in absentia and in violation of Section 3:6.3 of the Coercive Measures Act during a period of legal impediment.

On 25.3.2024, 8.4.2024 and 10.6.2024, her lawyer Saarela submitted evidence to the Finnish judiciary showing that Kavonius herself is the victim of a huge number of crimes. Due to the unlawful official decision against Kavonius on 31.5.2023, at least 10,000 people have distributed on social media and in Iltalehti material exhorting to rape, beat and stone to death Kavonius, insults calling her a whore, threats of sexual violence, gross defamation and serious violations of her dignity. In view of this evidence, it is quite unlawful that prosecutors have brought charges with false information against Jaana Kavonius, who is demonstrably the victim of all crimes and not the perpetrator of the crimes.

The discrepancy between charges and imprisonment is also evident from the fact that in Finland, defamation is normally punishable by a fine according to established case law in Finland. Kavonius' act is not defamation, because the information she published about crime by the authorities, the cult, the fraud network offences and "corona vaccines" is not false. On the contrary, Kavonius has used her freedom of speech for socially acceptable activities i.e. exposing crimes committed by the authorities, fraud networks and the cult that has been

carrying out rape and fraud in Finland for decades with the help of the Finnish police organisation.

In disqualified formations, Kavonius is subject to politically motivated charges and imprisonments based on false information, carried out by the very persons and officials whose own crimes Kavonius has exposed as a lawyer with her perfectly valid evidence. **No authority or anyone else has disproved any of the information published by Kavonius.** Instead, the charges and detentions have been carried out with false information, and through the charges staged for Kavonius, a completely different unconditional prison sentence of more than 5 years is demanded, which has never been directed at any person in Finland and must not be directed in violation of the Convention on Human Rights.

Kavonius has no criminal history and should not be charged and imprisoned on any grounds.

Section 2:13 of the Finnish Coercive Measures Act provides under the heading "**Prohibition of unreasonable imprisonment**":

"No one may be detained or ordered to remain in custody if this would be disproportionate to the nature of the case, the age of the suspect or convicted person or other personal circumstances."

The Finnish judiciary judges Mika Illman, Reetta Kannisto, Simo Kolehmainen, Toni Pörsti, Kristian Sjöblom, Laura Stenberg, Lena Engstrand and Pasi Pölönen, who decided on the detention, have completely prevented the defence by making decisions, none of which are based at all on the evidence in the case and have also prevented Jaana Kavonius' right to have witnesses examined for her innocence, guaranteed by Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention. It is essential that:

- 1) The pre-trial investigation has prevented her personal evidence and other evidence.**
- 2) The consideration of charges has prevented her from using personal and other evidence.**
- 3) In all detention hearings on 26.3.2024, 10.4.2024 and 28.6.2024, her personal evidence and other evidence have been prevented and she has been absent.**
- 4) The defence has been hindered by "accepting" for her public defender Saarela a fee by the decisions of the Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court of 10.4.2024 and 28.6.2024 for only 3 hours, even though the pre-trial file is hundreds of thousands of pages and the proof of innocence is 216 GB. Their decision has virtually prevented any proof of innocence and also the right of defence guaranteed by Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention, since such an unlawfully low number of hours means that the judges decide that the case file cannot be read and that no defence counsel should conduct the defence without access to the file.**
- 5) In Finland, such staging of charges and the process of "baking" charges without right to proof of innocence by evidence is prescribed as an official offence by police, prosecutors and judges and constitutes a serious violation of human rights.**
- 6) The judges who ordered the detention have threatened the defendant Kavonius and her defender Saarela, in order to prevent Kavonius from defending her altogether and to put pressure on her defender to leave office and to pressure him to leave his client Jaana Kavonius who needs his help in trouble.**

- 7) *Such a procedure, whereby the right of defence provided for in Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention, at the same time, the right to examine one's witnesses and to have one's written evidence of innocence properly examined, constitutes a serious violation of human rights against both Jaana Kavonius and Saarela as her defence lawyer and completely deprives the impartiality and legality of the process.*

6. The evidence of innocence that Kavonius submitted in order to refute the false grounds for detention was completely blocked

For the release of the innocent Kavonius, she and her defender Saarela have provided evidence that:

- 1) An application for asylum is a legal obstacle laid down in Section 3:6.3 of the Coercive Measures Act and an obstacle to detention in absentia.
- 2) Jaana Kavonius has a legal impediment to imprisonment in absentia laid down in the Coercive Measures Act.
- 3) The violent crime against her resulted in contusions, concussion, psychological shock, decay of 2 large molars and 3 months of disability and the offence was recorded as aggravated assault and not as surface scratches alleged by prosecutors and judges.
- 4) In view of threats of homicide, violence, rape and serious deprivation of liberty against Kavonius, she is entitled to protect herself from crime by seeking asylum in a secret address, in which case they are legally impeded and the imprisonment of an absentee is prohibited.
- 5) Geneva Convention on Refugees, ECHR ruling Mariapori v. Finland and the decisions of the Council of Europe have prohibited since 2007 prosecutions for defamation offences with prison sentence requirements, i.e. charges against Kavonius are completely prohibited in Finland.
- 6) Kavonius must be released in order to safeguard family life and medically necessary care under Article 8 of the Human Rights Convention, since detention is manifestly disproportionate and unjustified because of the absence of criminal offence, and because imprisonment is an impediment to medical care, family life and political activity.
- 7) FINLAND is not a state governed by the rule of law, and Jaana Kavonius and her family have not had human rights for years, and she and her children have not received legal protection from the authorities.
- 8) The mere unlawful charges against her and the unlawful detention carried out by the judges and prosecutors prove the criteria of persecution under the Geneva Convention for the treatment of Kavonius.
- 9) **All evidence of innocence has been provided, but it has been blocked and the captors are apparently deliberately lying about its absence, even though Saarela and Kavonius provided, on request, screenshots showing the transmission and recipient details of the allegedly missing evidence.**
- 10) **The current situation is therefore that Ms Kavonius is being subjected to unfounded charges, imprisonment and coercive measures by obstructing evidence and lying that evidence is lacking, even though it has been submitted several times.**
- 11) **The alleged "crime" of Kavonius was committed only by speaking and writing, that is to say, merely by exercising freedom of expression, in which imprisonment is completely prohibited.**

- 12) Making the decision of 10.4.2024, Judges Kolehmainen, Pörsti and Sjöblom outright lied by claiming that Kavonius' act **had been committed "in some other way" and they lied precisely because they knew that imprisonment was a completely prohibited punishment for the exercise of freedom of expression and that they were committing exactly such a prohibited act directed against Kavonius.**
- 13) **All information prepared by Kavonius is correct and her child has been subjected to 2 years of brutal violence at school and club, attempted murder and threats of murder that have continued during, before and after detention.**
- 14) **Kavonius should not be imprisoned as a truth-teller, nor should her children be subjected to such violence, assassination attempts and false denunciations, nor should Kavonius' 11-year-old child live motherless because of completely unlawful imprisonment.**

7. Violations of the right of defence under Article 6 in the case of Jaana Kavonius

Jaana Kavonius is being held in custody by obstructing evidence of innocence and defence

Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention (Right to a fair trial)

"1. Everyone has the right to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law when a decision is taken on his or her rights and obligations or on criminal charges against him or her. The decision must be given in public, but the press and the public may be denied access to all or part of the trial in the interests of morality, public policy or national security in a democratic society, where the interests of young people or the protection of the private life of the parties so require, or to the extent strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice justice.

2. Everyone charged with a criminal offence shall be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law.

3. Everyone charged with a criminal offence shall have the following minimum rights:

(a) the right to be informed without delay in detail of the content and grounds of the charges against him or her in a language which he understands;

(b) the right to have sufficient time and facilities to prepare defence;

(c) the right to defend himself or herself in person or through legal counsel of his or her own choice and, if he or she is unable to pay for the legal aid received, he or she must receive it free of charge if the interests of justice so require;

(d) the right to examine or examine witnesses summoned to testify against him or her and to have witnesses appearing on his or her behalf summoned and examined in the same circumstances as witnesses summoned to testify against him or her;

(e) the right to be assisted free of charge by an interpreter if she or he does not understand or speak the language used in court."

In the State of Finland, Section 3:5 of the Coercive Measures Act provides under the heading " Review of detention cases":

"If you are suspected of having committed an offence in custody, the court hearing the charge shall, **at the request of the imprisoned person, reopen the detention case without delay and no later than four days after the request has been made**, pending sentencing."

On **29.5.2024**, Kavonius and Saarela filed a request with the District Court for the release of Jaana Kavonius on very good legal grounds and evidence. **19.7.2024 is already more than 50 days from the initiation of the claim, although the absolute deadline for processing the case (4 days) was 2.6.2024.** The detention case brought by Jaana Kavonius has not been heard, the date of the hearing has not been announced and no written evidence of her innocence has been processed **and she has never heard any personal witness she has appointed at any hearing in more than 10 years.**

Although Kavonius has made a new request for her release on 1.7.2024, the detention and obstruction of the processing of the prisoner's claims by the same gang of official offences have just as deliberately continued.

All charges, detentions on **26.3.2024, 10.4.2024 and 28.6.2024 and other coercive measures have been carried out in disqualified formations**, with false information intentionally and by preventing the entire evidence of correct information of innocence. Kavonius is facing charges, a **completely deviant and erroneous detention carried out against the absent Kavonius contrary to Section 3:6.3 of the Coercive Measures Act, legal impediments to illness and other legal impediments (applying for asylum, protection from crime) within less than a day** of the prosecutors initiating the detention request without evidence with the false information explained above and the same prosecutors and those who lied as plaintiffs under case numbers R 23/1220, R 23/1383, R 23/4516, R 23/4811 are prosecuting an unconditional prison sentence of more than 5 years for the innocent Kavonius, preventing evidence, **even though the Court of Human Rights has ruled in the case Mariapori v. Finland completely banned the imprisonment as a punishment, and although neither prosecutors nor anyone has proven any of Kavonius' knowledge to be false.**

In all her criminal complaints and all requests for termination of detention on 25.3.2024, 8.4.2024, 29.5.2024, 10.6.2024 and 1.7.2024, Jaana Kavonius has provided evidence that all the information she has published is correct and has named more than 100 personal witnesses to prove her innocence. Of the persons named by Kavonius, none have been heard as witnesses and NO written evidence has been considered and at the same time, it is precisely by the total obstruction of evidence that an innocent truth-teller has been and is being illegally detained in violation of evidence (aggravated deprivation of liberty against an innocent person).

In order to cause enormous reputational damage, prevent political rights, freedom of expression and other rights, the innocent Kavonius has been subjected to dozens of defamatory interrogations, charges, imprisonments, wanted warrants, defamatory continuous news coverage, several searches of his family and the homes of her party's government, deliberate continuation of her detention as explained above, aggravated deliberate obstruction of defence and evidence and intimidation of her lawyer, even if

- 1) *Jaana Kavonius has no criminal history, no previous convictions and her knowledge is not false.*
- 2) *The sentence for manslaughter in Finland is lower than the prison sentence imposed on Kavonius as an illegal punishment for telling the truth.*
- 3) *Jaana Kavonius, who is completely innocent, is framed for a completely different sentence of more than 5 years in prison prohibited by the Convention on Human Rights for non-existent crimes, subjected to a huge number of crimes, by deliberately preventing proof of innocence.*
- 4) *The human right of Jaana Kavonius laid down in Article 6 has not been implemented in the case, and the deliberate detention and prosecution of Kavonius, who is completely innocent and in the position of a victim of crime, is based on total deliberate obstruction of evidence.*
- 5) *Detention decisions, all charges and coercive measures decisions, and decisions stopping the investigations produced by the network are based in all respects on lying and obstruction of evidence.*
- 6) *Kavonius has exposed a network whose felony crimes have been staged for many victims, and anyone today because of the corrupt Finnish judiciary, police and prosecution services, can be framed for prosecution, imprisonment and artificial debt by falsified grounds.*
- 7) *These solutions, implemented by certain police officers, prosecutors and judges as a network under Article 6:5(2) of the Criminal Code, do not therefore arise in normal and legal procedures, but in a network revealed by Kavonius, which agrees on its crimes in advance and prevents its victims from giving evidence altogether. **That is exactly how all the decisions concerning Kavonius and the undue debts incurred by her and her children have been carried out.***

Detention is based on obstruction of defence

Charges are being brought against innocent Kavonius in Finland so that **the pre-trial investigation material is approximately 100,000 pages and Kavonius' innocence evidence is 216 GB. The processing of innocence evidence has been blocked for 10 years.** Kavonius and her defence counsel, have submitted all clear evidence of Kavonius' innocence to the Western Uusimaa District Court, the Helsinki Court of Appeal, the Supreme Court, the police and the prosecution service and demanded an immediate end to the charges and detention. The evidence has been delivered on memory diskettes in person and acknowledged as received and can be read at the same time via the link:

<https://drive.proton.me/urls/YBD9YB0Z10#dTHOmqnFzFHR>

None of the evidence of innocence (written and other evidence) has been read or discussed at all in any detention decisions, and all detention decisions have been carried out by completely preventing Kavonius from having questioned all the more than 100 witnesses she named as a witness of innocence, even though she is required to be sentenced to more than 5 years in prison, as reported by Iltalehti as "tough" charges.

The charges are based on the allegation that Kavonius would have published "something" of false information without identifying a single false piece of information **and by completely**

preventing any evidence at detention hearings that the information is correct in all respects.

The violations of Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention contained in detention decisions and the process are underlined by the fact that on **28.6.2024 the Supreme Court blocked leave to appeal by decision in a procedure where:**

- 1) **Proof of innocence was completely prevented and NOT ANY witnesses named by Kavonius have been heard and not a single proof of Kavonius' innocence and true and false information has been tried by any instance, police and prosecution service.**
- 2) **The charges and imprisonment are based on lying that Kavonius' information is allegedly false and then she is barred from proving that they are correct, so that the detention continues with the obstruction of evidence and the hearing of evidence does not take place at any stage.**
- 3) **The charges and detention are based on lying about surface scratches on 11.12.2019 in a violent crime, based on police officers` and prosecutors` lies (lying of crime reports, emergency calls, interrogation response submitted to the police would be non-existent) and the unwarranted prosecution and detention of Kavonius, the victim of an innocent crime, against the written confessions of the alleged "plaintiffs" who demanded imprisonment for Kavonius.**
- 4) **As a public defender, by decision of 28.6.2024, Saarela was "allowed" working hours of only 1 hour, even if during one hour he cannot read the pre-trial investigation material, process any evidence, communicate with Kavonius, prepare a statement or submit any evidence and the decision of 28.6.2024 means that:**
 - A. **the defense is completely blocked**
 - B. **proof of innocence is deliberately prevented**
 - C. **all rights under Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention are intentionally impeded;**
 - D. **the defender is not paid for his work (with the aim of kicking the defender out of his post and causing Kavonius to be deprived of his duties)**
 - E. **as a lawyer, he should read at least 100,000 pages per minute, i.e. set a "world record for reading speed" that does not allow the reading of evidence, pre-trial material and pleadings, any submission of evidence and communication with the defendant, and no provision of evidence at all**
- 5) **No court decision takes a position on any facts and all evidence of innocence was prevented, even though Kavonius filed an 873-page complaint, whose evidence consisted of confessions of fraud and, of all the true information published by her, the veracity of her evidence, which can also be viewed in public form at the link aforementioned.**
- 6) **NONE of the detention decisions (26.3.2024, 10.4.2024 and 28.6.2024) take a position on any section of the law either, and the unlawful detention of the absentee is therefore continued; although it was not even allowed to be initiated against the innocent and the imprisonment contrary to Section 3:6.3 of the Coercive Measures Act was not allowed to be carried out at all during periods of legal impediment, and yet it is precisely such gross deprivation of liberty and fabricated evidence and preventing as evidence the confessions of fraud of the network that the imprisonment and prosecution of Kavonius continues.**

- 7) At the same time the District Court has deliberately committed a human rights violation against Kavonius for more than 50 days by 19.7.2024, and the procedure for obstructing the processing of the detainee's claims continues in violation of the time limit norm laid down in Section 3:15 of the Act on the Protection of Prisoners, even though the absolute deadline for processing the claim submitted on 29.5.2024 was by 2.6.2024 and no exception has been provided for, and the blocking of the processing of the request for release made on 1.7.2024 has also continued.
- 8) Completely unlawful imprisonment of an innocent person was carried out in less than a day without any evidence and by lying in detention decisions.
- 9) Preventing liberalisation in the other direction
- A. by significantly and deliberately exceeding the time limit laid down for the protection of the detainee;
 - B. preventing ALL proof of innocence
 - C. *by accepting such a meagre number of working hours of 1 hour for the public defender that this alone proves that this case is not about normal charges and trials, but about deliberately inflicting serious human rights violations on an innocent person, Jaana Kavonius, precisely because she collected evidence of professional crime by cult, authorities` mafia and fraud networks, crimes committed with "corona vaccines"*
 - D. *and now the network she exposes is trying to cause her imprisonment as an innocent truth-teller, and before that, the same network tried to silence her with serious violent crimes and several assassination attempts, which have already been committed 5 times against Kavonius and her children by car as attempted drive-overs, and more than 10 times by carrying out other violence and destroying her home.*

Kavonius must be immediately released and granted international protection as a political prisoner because:

- 1) **In Finland, she has been imprisoned on political grounds in order to prevent an asylum application, even though the case does not concern Kavonius' crime at all**, but the prevention of compensation due to Kavonius and the aggravated fraud, office crime, sabotage, secrecy crimes, defamation offences committed against Kavonius by the extensive network of complicities she has exposed, the crimes of a cult led by Juha Ruohonen, who has operated in Finland with the help of the police for 30 years, for aggravated violent and official crimes against Kavonius' minor children as political terror and for the theft of Kavonius' property and pension income by means of threats of murder and violent crimes against Kavonius on 11.12.2019 and the destruction of her home and property by aggravated destruction and sabotage.
- 2) **The imprisonment of the innocent Kavonius and the staged political charges have been carried out by lying** Injuries from violent crime to surface scratches, lying about emergency calls as non-existent, concealing and falsifying an investigation report, lying about all the facts, **and blocking all evidence and investigations for more than 10 years.**
- 3) All cases involve staging crime, which has been carried out for decades by a large criminal network targeting several victims.

8. Impediment to medical treatment, political activity and family life and the right to provide evidence of the grounds for exemption laid down in Section 2:13 of the Coercive Measures Act

Unjustified warrants against Kavonius, imprisonment and calls for murder, violence and rape facilitated by the authorities and unlawful threats of more than 5 years' imprisonment, the threat of imprisonment, staging, murder, rape and violent crime associated with outdoor activities has prevented Kavonius from receiving essential medical treatment for life-threatening heart defects, oxygen deprivation and tumours for more than a year now, and prevented her from receiving essential hospital care. Invitations from the hospital in Finland were last received to her address in Finland on 1.7.2024, but Kavonius cannot attend the hospital necessary for her because of illegal imprisonment, threat of homicide, and threats of violence, murder and rape.

For more than a year now, illegal detention, wanted warrants and illegal detention demands on charges have also prevented Kavonius' family life, Kavonius' political activities, participation in presidential election 2024, European elections 2024 and all normal life. Detention is unreasonable and unjustified on the grounds laid down in Section 2:13 of the Coercive Measures Act, since no Kavonius' crime exists and imprisonment causes her a risk of death and is the deprivation of all human rights.

9. "Non-confidential materials" and shadow processes as violations of Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention

The ECHR is in the case **Fortum vs. Finland** found it to be a violation of Article 6 that the decision is based on material on which the subject of the decision has not been allowed to comment. In Finland, all the network's decisions are created in such illegal proceedings and also in secret hearings to which no other party to the trial has been invited. **Kavonius' arrest and warrant are based on such unlawful proceedings contrary to Article 6.**

The use of unofficial material concealed from Kavonius and her defence counsel and the secret hearing of District Judge Mika Illman arranged only between prosecutors and Kavonius' opponents can be seen directly from Iltalehti's 4.3.2024 news item, which is attached to this demand for dismissal. In addition, it is a violation of Article 6 that the judge in the case, Mika Illman, has deliberately caused the Kavonius' children entitled to compensation to receive EUR 240,000 undue debts and by blocking their compensation, and considers and takes up (staged) charges and warrants against Kavonius in a widely circulated public newspaper and he takes a position on the charges, which, when carried out by this judge is an exceptionally serious violation of Article 6.

Similar completely prohibited shadow processes have existed between 1992 and 2024 in legal proceedings brought by citizens, entrepreneurs and companies against banks, in all cases brought by Kavonius, her children, in the handling of claims and criminal cases brought by specialist Matti Wallin and the shadow processes prohibited from them, and can even be seen in the entries on the counterparties' invoices.

In addition, the basis for invoicing has been aggravated fraud in a civil dispute, non-existent transactions and invoiced, for example, for illegal termination of Kavonius' employment, breach of secrecy (when the victim is Kavonius) charged for 100,000 euros, and charged for data protection matters free of charge by law 1200 euros/hour, dissemination of medical records (secrecy offence), child victims ordered to pay 140,000 euros for crimes committed against themselves, one of the children to pay without trial 100,000 euros to the officials who committed the crimes and the City of Lohja, and Jaana Kavonius' compensation was prevented due to violent crime and threat of homicide on 11.12.2019 and she was ordered to pay 120,000 euros to the criminals who destroyed her entire home and property with aggravated sabotage and they charged for their aggravated fraud and secrecy offences.

10. Number of ECHR judgments handed down by Finland and deliberate continuation of activities against them

Finland is the only Nordic country to have received more sentences from the Court of Human Rights than the other Nordic countries combined. **Finland does not care anything about judgments by which the Court of Human Rights has convicted Finland more than 20 times of violations of freedom of expression and ruled that prison sentences are prohibited (e.g. the Court of Human Rights in the ruling *Mariapori v. Finland*).**

Yet network of criminal authorities and cult operating in Finland are deliberately attempting to impose on her a completely unjustified, completely deviant and illegal prison sentence for more than 5 years precisely to prevent the lawful exercise of freedom of speech, and because she uses her freedom of speech precisely to expose their egregious crimes.

The amount of crime committed against Kavonius, her family, her party and her association, the deliberate submission of them by the network's decisions preventing the normal investigations, and the criminal sadistic methods of staging against her (total obstruction of evidence, disqualified formations, violence, attempted murder, threats of murder and rape, disqualified formations, advance scheduling, advance stigmatisation as "a wanted criminal") show, that the only way to prevent them from framing Kavonius innocent in prison is to apply for asylum and prepare this report for the Council of Europe with a view to dismissing Finland on the basis of the seriousness and extent of human rights violations based on correct information.

11. Legal interpretation of freedom of expression in ECHR adjudication compared to Kavonius' illegal imprisonment and threat of more than 5 years in prison for exercising freedom of expression

Kavonius has published evidence of crimes committed by authorities, fraud networks and Ruohonen's cult, as well as truthful material made public during a public trial. **In Finland, its publication and the right to obtain information about it are a constitutional right (section 12(2) of the Constitution) and not crimes.**

Yet Kavonius has been imprisoned in Finland and is being held completely illegally as a narrator of socially significant truthful information and as a political prisoner, and members of the criminal network and the cult she exposed are pursuing prohibited charges and a prohibited prison sentence of more than 5 years in order to prevent an innocent truth-teller from revealing their crimes, frame her in prison as innocent and prevent her reparations, and Kavonius from repairing serious human rights violations and economic damage to her, her children, her party and her association.

The imprisonment, detention of Kavonius, charges against her, prohibited demands for imprisonment and all coercive measures are serious violations of human rights and also serious crimes under the Finnish Criminal Code.

The ECHR has considered freedom of expression to be one of the fundamental pillars of a democratic society, with particular emphasis on the role of the press in disseminating information and **ideas on all issues of public interest** in accordance with their responsibilities and duties. **Closely related to this task is the public's right to receive information. (See, e.g., Du Roy and Maulaurie v. France 3.10.2000, Ernst et al. v Belgium 15.7.2003, Éditions Plon v France 18.5.2004, Pedersen and Baadsgaard v Denmark 17.12.2004 and Tourancheau and July France 24.11.2005)"**

The ECHR emphasises the right to disseminate information and ideas on all subjects of public interest, that journalists should be able to carry out their duties without fear that the proper performance of their duties may lead to sanctions ("chilling effect"), and that journalistic freedom also includes the possibility of resorting to exaggeration and provocation.

According to the ECHR, restrictions on freedom of expression must be interpreted strictly and there must be convincing evidence of their necessity. (See, e.g., Wirtschafts-Trend Zeitschriften-Verlagsgesellschaft mbH Austria 14.11.2002, Jerusalem Austria 27.2.2001 and Rizos and Daskas Greece 27.5.2004)"

In numerous judgments, the ECHR has held that Article 10 of the ECHR applies, within the limits set out in paragraph 2 thereof, not only to those which are positive, harmless or indifferent, but also to those which are offensive, shocking or worrying information. This is due to the demands of pluralism, tolerance and liberality, without which there can be no democratic society.

According to the ECHR, freedom of expression is an essential foundation of a democratic society. (See, e.g., Castells v Spain 23.4.1992, Dalban Romania 28.9.1999, Du Roy and Malaurie France 3.10.2000, Jerusalem v. Austria 27.2.2001, Societe Prisma Presse v. France 1.7.2003, Maroglou v. Greece 23.10.2003, Vides Aizsardzibas Klubs v. Latvia 27.5.2004, Rizos ja Daskas v. Greece 27.5.2004, von Hannover v. Germany 24.6.2004 ja Ukrainian Media Group v. Ukraine 29.3.2005)"

In its interpretation, the ECHR has followed the principles of restrictive interpretation with regard to restrictions on freedom of expression and tolerant interpretation with regard to the exercise of freedom of expression. According to the principle of restrictive interpretation, the interpretation of restrictions on freedom of expression is based on the

premise that freedom of expression is the general rule and the restriction correspondingly the exception. For this reason, the grounds for restricting freedom of expression must be interpreted narrowly, and there must be convincing evidence of the necessity of any restriction. For example, in *Oberschlick v. Austria No 2* On 1.7.1997, the State violated freedom of expression by sentencing the punishment of a journalist who had criticised the opinions of the leader of the Austrian Party for Freedom, calling him an "idiot".

In *Scharsach and News Verlagsgesellschaft v Austria* 13.11.2003, Austria was found to have infringed freedom of expression by convicting a journalist of libel and of holding a newspaper company liable for damages because the journalist had in a newspaper article called the politician a "closet Nazi".

When considering whether to restrict freedom of expression, the context in which the message is presented must be taken into account. In addition to the internal contexts of meaning, the assessment is also influenced by a more **general context of matter or discussion**.

In interpretative situations, the ECHR has attached great importance to **whether the area at stake is the core of the exercise of freedom of expression, such as politics**, or the periphery, such as advertising or pornography. **Reporting on matters of state or other public interest, as well as in politics or other public affairs and the monitoring and critical examination of the exercise of power by persons in politics or other public positions fall within the core area of freedom of expression. Restricting such a debate requires particularly weighty arguments.** (See, e.g., *Lingens v Austria* 8.7.1986, *Castells Spain* 23.3.1992, *Thorgeir Thorgeirson Iceland* 25.6.1992, *Wingrove v. United Kingdom* 25.11.1996, *Societe Prisma Presse France* 1.7.2003 and *Rizos and Daskas Greece* 27.5.2004)

There must also be weighty grounds for intervening in a debate that arouses public interest. It is not only political expressions in the strict sense that have been regarded as such a debate, but also the debate on economic, cultural and social conditions that is strongly protected

In general, important topics of discussion in the EIT's decision-making practice have included:

- **political debate** (*Lingens Austria* 8.7.1986, *Jerusalem Austria* 27.2.2001 and *Unabhängige Initiative Informationsvielfalt v. Austria* 26.2.2002);
- **presidential campaign** (*Archipelago and others in Finland* 12.10.2010)
- **debates and criticism of the far-right party and its chairman** (*Lindon, Otchakovsky-Laurens and July v. France* 22.10.2007)
- **debate on seal hunting practices** (*Bladet Tromsø and Steensaas v. Norway* 20.5.1999); poliittinen keskustelu
- **proper enforcement of decisions on parental responsibility and the use of force in such a context** (*Krone Verlag GmbH v Austria* 19.6.2012);
- **police procedures for investigating criminal cases** (*Pedersen and Baadsgaard v. Denmark* 19.6.2003);
- **allegations of police violence** (*Thorgeir Thorgeirson v. Iceland* 25.6.1992);

- **information on judicial activities when the prosecutor was suspected of involvement in illegal activities** (Rizos and Daskas v. Greece 27.5.2004);
- **the management of state resources and the way in which politicians carried out their duties** (Dalban Romania of 28.9.1999);
- **infringement of the provisions on the conversion of permanent residences into holiday homes** (TØnsberg Blad AS and Haukom v Norway 1.3.2007);
- **patient safety (Selistö Finland 16.11.2004)**
- **failure of cosmetic surgeries performed by a named surgeon and patient dissatisfaction with treatment** (Bergens Tidende v Norway 2.5.2000); · **Quality of care for the elderly (Heinisch v Germany 21.7.2011)**
- **quality of care for the elderly** (Heinisch v Germany 21.7.2011);
- **status of performers in stripper clubs** (Björk Eidsdottir Iceland 10.7.2012)
- **possible appointment of a member of a political party to a post in the Ministry of Education** (Rodrigues v Portugal 29.11.2005);
- **statement on environmental protection, public health and the nuclear accident and on the former Director of the Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority (Mamere v. France 7.11.2006).**"

It follows from the above that the **ECHR has not limited the topics of public interest enjoying a high level of freedom of expression to politics, but that other topics of public interest which are of general importance also enjoy a high level of protection of freedom of expression within a narrow margin of national discretion.**

In assessing whether interference with the exercise of freedom of expression was necessary in a democratic society, the ECHR has paid attention to, inter alia, the following issues:

- **whether the facts were presented in an objective manner;**
- whether the facts were presented in a misleading manner;
- Journalist's bona fides (good faith) / Mala fides (bad faith, contrary to better knowledge)
- whether the image or information was obtained under pretexts, illegal means or lawfully;
- status of the person: politicians, unlike private individuals, have deliberately exposed themselves to close scrutiny of their actions and words;
- **civil servants** are in between;
- whether the article or image was a contribution **to a generally interesting discussion**
- whether the article was of **general relevance** or satisfied only the curiosity of a certain readership;
- **whether the information was obtained from official sources**
- **whether the information was obtained from publicly available sources;**
- **whether the information had already been made public;**
- **the nature of the data concerned;**

Although the ECHR lists a number of cases in which freedom of expression may be restricted by law, the Court of Justice of the European Union and the ECHR have stressed in all their rulings that these restrictions **must be interpreted strictly**. **According to court rulings, freedom of expression also applies to information and thoughts that offend, shock or disturb.** (e.g. Judgment of the Court of Justice in **Bernard Connolly v Commission of the European Communities** EURLex, referred to 9.3.2012)

12. The ECHR ruling on manipulation of evidence and 9.7.2024 news of Navalny's widow imprisoned in absentia compared to Kavonius' treatment

In its ruling published on 11.7.2023, the ECHR has found that Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention constitutes a violation of that the trial, pre-trial investigation and consideration of charges do not take into account evidence in a normal and equitable manner, but "select" evidence that incriminates and leads to prison sentences, deliberately prevents certain personal witnesses from being heard, and deliberately evaluates and manipulates evidence so that the guilty cannot be identified. The process is biased and the goal is not to solve the crime, but to prevent it.

In Kavonius' cases, in all decisions on stopping investigations, obstruction of the right to bring proceedings, criminal investigations, actions, prosecutions, appeals and liabilities and detentions, **it is precisely this kind of manipulation of evidence and deliberate obstruction of all remedies by obstruction of the right to bring justice that has caused all the erroneous decisions.**

It is particularly reprehensible that:

- 1) The Finnish authorities have first imprisoned the innocent Kavonius in a procedure prohibited by Section 3:6.3 of the Coercive Measures Act during the period of legal impediment, prevented ALL evidence of innocence and lied to the non-existence of the evidence provided and prevented her lawyer from receiving fees for the role of public defender to which lawyer Saarela was assigned by a district court decision.**
- 2) Then they threatened Kavonius' and her lawyer Saarela, with the intention of manipulating the evidence to the detriment of the accused Kavonius, who is wrongfully detained, in order to obstruct the evidence of the defence, to prevent the tasks of a public defender and to continue the imprisonment of the innocent.**

[ECHR: Russia investigates the murder of opposition politician Nemtsov half-heartedly | Yle News](#)

It is a procedure in which political persecution is carried out by the judiciary, the police and prosecutors

- 1) prevent investigations into violent crimes, murders and all crimes against truth-tellers and whistleblowers such as Kavonius, and protect offenders by intentionally obstructing testimony and other relevant evidence.
- 2) carry out a procedure whereby government officials frame sentences for pre-selected victims of persecution, regardless of evidence, in order to frame whistleblowers of political corruption with imprisonment, fines and trumped-up liability for non-existent crimes and "guilty" of acts that have not been crimes and do not exist as crimes.

"The European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) considers that Russia **did not adequately investigate** the murder of opposition politician Boris Nemtsov. The court sentenced Russia to pay Nemtsov's daughter 20,000 euros.

Nemtsov, one of President Vladimir Putin's most prominent critics, was shot dead in Moscow near the Kremlin in 2015. Five people were convicted in connection with the case in 2017, but the ECHR said the Russian investigation **was ineffective**.

The court said it had received substantial evidence that the Chechen internal security apparatus was involved in the murder. However, these lines of investigation were not addressed in court because this part of the investigation was separated from the official investigation.

- Several persons who could have provided vital information in this case were never questioned or asked essential questions," the ruling said.
- "The investigation unequivocally failed to address the political motive for the assassination very precisely or find any other plausible motive for the assassination.

Sources: AFP, STT"

13. Political persecution of freedom of speech in Finland ordered by former President Sauli Niinistö

No one in Finland can investigate any official offences, and Finland has not been a state governed by the rule of law for decades and has not implemented Article 13 of the Human Rights Convention or other laws and human rights treaties. The current Constitution, the "sovereignty", independence and respect for the laws of our republic have been superseded by the network of authorities` mafia and the cult that try to silence Kavonius with assassination attempts, sadistic crimes against her children, home and freedom to silence her truth and to prevent her to publish the public evidence collected by Kavonius.

The persecution of freedom of expression is led and ordered as political terror by former President Sauli Niinistö, who publicly issued an order in a newspaper to "**bake exemplary defamation judgments**" as **deterrent terror**:

[Niiniston-lausunto-Keskisuomalainen-lehdessa-kunnianloukkauslynkkausten-lisayksen-vaatiminen.pdf \(virkamafia.fi\)](#)

The commission of such a crime against Kavonius is still going on in a completely illegal process, in which the detention has been carried out by completely preventing proof of innocence, she is subject to a completely prohibited prison sentence of more than 5 years, the desecration will be carried out in 2023-2024 with extensive news coverage with large color photographs stamped as "imprisoned and wanted for aggravated crimes" and the network she exposed *is attempting to impose on opposition politician Jaana Kavonius a completely unjustified prison sentence of at least 5 years, many times higher than the penalty scales, in a country where telling the truth has become a more "serious" crime than killing children and the elderly because of the crimes of Niinistö and the network judges, prosecutors, police, cult, bailiffs and others who carry out persecutions of freedom of expression within the network.*

Kavonius has the right to return to her homeland innocent, because imprisonment should not be imposed for exercising freedom of speech, telling the truth or proving shortcomings in the

*legitimacy of the authorities. She must also be granted asylum in the alternative, because between 2001 and 2024 she has never received and will never again be able to receive any trial in Finland under Article 6 in any case due to abuse of authority related to Niinistö's position and the crimes of the network she has uncovered and because the network's **methods have made her a person without human rights and made against her a predetermined reputation as an "anti-vaccine whore" and an "aggravated wanted detained criminal"**.*

14. Kavonius' evidence of methods, attempted murder, brutal violence and fraud carried out by Finnish authorities and other networks

Jaana Kavonius is a lawyer, party leader of the Truth Party and director of the Finnish Legal Protection Centre. Between 1992 and 2024, she has collected extensive evidence of an organised crime group operating in Finland that she calls "virkamafia" (the authorities' mafia network), which includes thousands of police officers, prosecutors, lawyers, judges, other officials, lawyers and executives of LocalTapiola Insurance Group, the entire former and current state leadership led by former President Sauli Niinistö, and a cult committing rape and aggravated fraud led by Juha Ruohonen under the auspices of the police and prosecutors.

The network carries out serious human rights violations, violence, unlawful threats, targeted serious crimes against persons to be heard in the administration of justice, attempted murder, aggravated fraud, forgery, official and sabotage offences, torture, employment discrimination, data protection offences, discrimination, secrecy offences and other serious crimes. The decisions blocking the investigations, without processing evidence, deliberately prevent pre-trial investigations, charges and trials, enable the network to commit serious crime, and activities of organisations for the acquisition of proceeds of crime. The same network deliberately frames innocent people in prison for non-existent crimes and criminalises whistleblowers **and victims are also millionaires, whose property is stolen** by the network bailiffs through the network's fraud and official offences, **also in order to maximise the state's proceeds of crime.**

In retaliation, the network carries out pre-arranged false denunciations (false child protection and crime reports with false information), denies their victims the family rights guaranteed by Article 8 of the Human Rights Convention, carries out school violence as systematic political crime against victims' children and violates the human rights of children and women.

The network's crimes are carried out on the basis of a secret memorandum drawn up on 10.12.2018 by the current Director of the Police Department of the Ministry of the Interior, Tomi Vuori, and Prosecutor Harri Lindberg, which urges prosecutors and police officers to work in pairs to deliberately prevent the investigation of crimes, and the network always prevents investigations, charges, convictions and all legal remedies for serious crimes committed by members of its own network. For more than 30 years, the same judges, police officers, prosecutions, lawyers and members of the fraud network and the cult have been

committing aggravated crimes and using them to obtain huge criminal proceeds of billions every year, and through their network since the 1990s, plundering the assets of their victims and turning several millionaires into debtors and, for more than 30 years, escaping criminal and compensation liability for their crimes laid down by law. Due to the network, no victim in Finland has been able to investigate any of the network's and cult's crimes and initiate their claims for compensation for more than 30 years.

The State of Finland is committed to complying with Section 21 of the Constitution and Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention, **according to which everyone has the right to have their case brought and their content of evidence heard by an impartial court.** The network exposed by Kavonius carries out all its decisions in the pre-trial investigation, consideration of charges and the judiciary with the opposite serious crimes, in such a way **that the initiation of proceedings, all victims' remedies and the entire body of personal and written evidence are deliberately prevented**, so that the network can obtain proceeds of crime and falsely lie about the false information and non-existent events it uses in serious crimes to be true, and carry out aggravated fraud in civil disputes through misconducts by certain same judges, police officers and prosecutors.

We are talking about criminal corruption (structural and financial corruption) that has been operating secretly from the Council of Europe and citizens for more than 30 years, in which officials of this network operating within an official organisation of authorities and companies, lawyers, the cult and persons from fraud networks who profit financially from the network's crimes use their official duties and position in this network for criminal activities.

The network carries out professional aggravated crime using standard methods in abnormal criminal processes that completely invalidate Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention and Section 21 of the Constitution, and the network itself chooses to carry out shadow preparations (secret e-mails, hearings and telephone agreements prohibited by the Procedural Code, Constitution 21 § and Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention) and are limited to the network's own accomplices from decade to decade. They carry out pre-arranged crimes against victims and prevent evidence from the victim and all legal remedies at the same time.

The network carries out prosecution platforms in which victims' remedies are defined as "crimes" in violation of Articles 6, 13, 14, 17-18 of the Human Rights Convention, the National Pre-Trial Investigation Act, the Criminal Code and the Constitution.. The network carries out the acquisition of huge proceeds of crime with false decision-making justifications and plunders the entire property of victims, who have been denied legal protection and through the network crimes the property of the victims is turned to the network's criminals. When the network robs its victims in a district court, the same network then ensures that pre-trial investigations, prosecutions and evidence of their crimes are prevented and that the victim is simultaneously denied further leave to appeal, so that the completely criminal, fraudulent process and the resulting false decisions, criminal attachments and artificially

inflated revenge debt against the victim and other consequences of aggravated crimes remain in force.

ALL decisions of the network include lies about facts, manipulate evidence, and the illegal protection allow them to lie any gross lies, because "pre-trial investigations" (deliberate obstruction of them by investigative methods) and any obstruction of legal remedies are directed only to those network officials who have deliberately caused debts, violations of rights and agreed upon these crimes. The network ALWAYS prevents the victim from giving ALL evidence in all crimes. **Evidence, i.e. will be replaced with the lies of those who prevent the investigations in the network.**

An example of the network's crimes targeting Kavonius' children:

In 2002, Kavonius' 12-year-old child was permanently disabled at school, 54 officials of the city of Lohja deliberately posted the child's medical records on their websites, and confidential information about her younger siblings' religion was published on the school's notice board. Although all these acts against children constitute deliberate offences of secrecy and official duties, and even though the EU Commission and the Provincial Government of Southern Finland stated that the officials who committed the offences against children as criminal offences and the clear right to compensation of children, which was based on the Personal Data Act (the current General Data Protection Regulation, the Criminal Code, the Tort Liability Act and Section 118 of the Constitution), agreed with State Prosecutor Mika Illman, police officer Paavo Myöhänen, AA Seppo Lindberg that:

1. The police and Illman removed 50 officials (including all police officers and the mayor who participated in the crimes) from the pre-trial investigation and prosecution in advance;
2. After that, the disqualified District Judge Kirsti Hakola, who participated in the crimes, prevented the child from giving evidence (including the above-mentioned decisions of the Commission and the County Administrative Board) and by her decision of the Chancellery, ordered the child victim of a patient data offence who had been disabled at the age of 12, to pay EUR 100,000 to the criminal officials and Lindberg completely without trial or evidence.

A child who was a victim of crime was deliberately incurred an artificial enforcement debt of EUR 100,000 in retaliation for invoking her rights, and the compensation due to her was deliberately prevented in violation of the clear decision of the EU Commission and the perfectly clear right to compensation laid down in the Personal Data Act. **A small disabled child was subjected to retaliatory proceedings in a "trial" in which NO trials have taken place in any instance, not a single piece of evidence has been examined, not a single victim, no officials who committed the breach of secrecy, no witnesses and not a single court hearing ever held anywhere. Such "legal proceedings" have been conducted in Finland in violation of membership obligations and Article 6 for more than 30 years.** The child victim of the crimes pays criminal officials and Lindberg wages for committing crimes in violation of laws, evidence, decisions of the Commission and the County Administrative Board, and

District Judge Kirsti Hakola, who caused the child's debts and prevented compensation from being paid without trial, lied about this as "reasonable". Hakola ordered a minor child victim to pay 6,000 euros for Kimmo Huttunen, a lawyer for the City of Lohja, who was sentenced an offence against that child.

Subsequently, the same network also blocked the compensation of the little sisters and ordered them to pay "reasonably" 40,000 euros in criminal wages to Lindberg and the official who had posted their confidential religious information on the school bulletin board.

When Jaana Kavonius began to expose the network's crimes with her evidence, **the network's criminals destroyed Kavonius and her children's home by spreading it full of carcinogenic creosote, quartz dust, pests and faecal sludge, and at the same time terminated Kavonius' impeccable 22-year legal employment at LähiTapiola while on Kavonius' maternity leave without grounds for dismissal.** When Kavonius brought claims for damages for the destruction of her home, health and employment, in all cases she brought based on full evidence, ALL trials, all appeals, all pre-trial investigations and ALL evidence were prevented and in order to prevent the trial, Kavonius was beaten to hospital condition on 11.12.2019, threatened with death if she continued her claims for damages and criminal liability. While Kavonius was receiving treatment in hospital because of the brutal crime, the members of the network were changed to judges and prevented all claims, appeals from being filed, and the network police prevented criminal investigations and the criminal complaint was transferred to the archives unprocessed.

The network carried out the same kind of compensation prevention and artificial debt against Jaana Kavonius that had previously been committed against her children. Kavonius' assets were stolen through invoicing by members of the fraud network by causing artificial debts of EUR 250,000 in a situation where the victim, Kavonius, is not supposed to pay for crimes in her home and for the termination of his employment on grounds of discrimination due to maternity and pregnancy, and during this events she was subjected to brutal violence and murder threats to obtain the proceeds of crime to the fraud networks.

Kavonius was ordered to pay 250,000 for the aggravated destruction caused by her opponents and for their gross fraud, for which her opponents' agents charged in civil disputes. All their bills and attachments are aggravated offences in office and fraud. Subsequently, the same network blocked all claims, complaints, pre-trial investigations, prosecutions, plaintiff charges and the initiation of all legal remedies provided for the victim by the law, and the ENTIRE taking of evidence, so that the criminal debt and the obstruction of her compensation have been forcibly upheld. The network also started garnishing a non-existent debt of EUR 40,000 from Kavonius' disability pension with a delay of 4 years on 7.7.2022, even though neither of the decisions alleged to be grounds for enforcement at all concern LocalTapiolaEtelä, which has become a fake creditor, and even the legally valid zero agreements prohibit debt collection.

Recovery of non-existent debt has continued for 2 years, because the network has prevented pre-trial investigations, appeals, claims, evidence and all remedies provided for by law. Now, on 13.7.2024, debt collection has been suspended until further notice.

The Finnish police, judiciary, prosecution and state leadership are in a deeply corrupt state.

Certain SAME officials have been committing professional crime for more than 30 years, and the Finnish state leadership has deliberately **concealed widespread corruption and serious crime from the Council of Europe and the ECHR**, whose solutions Finland and the above-mentioned cases the network has deliberately broken. The network carries out its crimes using the same standard methods and **Finland has not for more than 30 years complied with the right laid down in Article 13 of the Human Rights Convention and Article 118 of the Constitution to prosecute offences in office on any evidence in the normal manner.** **Between 2002 and 2024, the network has blocked the initiation of every action for compensation under Article 82 of the GDPR in order to prevent the victim's right to compensation, even though Articles 82 of the GPDR, Articles 13, 17-18 of the Human Rights Convention provide for the right to an effective remedy.**

Kavonius is the first person and the first lawyer to have photographed and witnessed the network's crimes from 1992 to 2024 long enough to prove with his evidence that the network is an organisation comparable to the mafia and the seriousness, extent and number of victims of the crime. **These are not "isolated cases", as the Finnish ministers of justice have lied to for decades publicly and to the Council of Europe.**

Kavonius' evidence proves in a unique and certain way that **certain same judges, prosecutors, police officers and other officials abuse their positions to commit aggravated crime using standard methods**, and that **fake prosecutions have been carried out** and led by for decades by the network, among others, chief judge Martti Juntikka, Kät Päivi Ranki, Kät Elise Salpaoja, police officers Markku Kukko, Jari Riiala, Alekski Sulkko, Jasper Uski, Simo Mamia, Taina Äijälä, Kaarle Lönnroth and the same prosecutors for example Annukka Juntunen, Mika Mäkelä, Heikki Kohijoki, Heidi Savurinne and the Head of the National Prosecutor's Office Jukka Rappe and the National Bureau of Investigation.

In Finland, the judge Martti Juntikka's network framed Anneli Auer and Jens Kukka for non-existent crimes to 17.5 years in prison with decisions that are upheld, even though the children who testified have admitted that the acts that led to 17.5 years in prison have never existed. The Director of the Office of the Prosecutor General, Ari-Pekka Koivisto, is blocking Auer's and Kukka's application for demolition, i.e. trying to force the sentences based on non-existent crimes. **The same network is currently framing the network's whistleblower, the innocent truth-teller Kavonius, in violation of ECHR sentences and with a trumped-up prison sentence of more than 5 years for non-existent crimes in order to silence Kavonius with imprisonment when she did not remain silent threatened with death and hospitalization.**

Number of decisions blocking the investigation enabling the network's crimes and number of innocent victims staged in prison

The criminal police and prosecutors of the network solve cases completely without pre-trial investigation material, **professionally falsify evidence using the OCR image recognition system and stamp public documents as confidential in order to cover up their crimes and carry out fake prosecutions.**

In Finland, 120,000–160,000 decisions to block investigations are made every year, and the majority of them have been made in a completely criminal manner and by lying in the decisions. In addition, as reported by the mainstream media, this network frames innocent people in prison. **Every year, 500 people sit innocent in prison In Finland.**

Evidence of serious crimes and human rights violations

Attached to this request for dismissal is a list of human rights violations committed against Kavonius. On 19.4.2023, Kavonius has released the entire comprehensive evidence she has collected on aggravated crimes, for which there is full evidence <https://drive.proton.me/urls/YBD9YB0Z10#dTHOmqnFzFHR>

Her evidence can also be seen on the www.virkamafia.fi website, and "Jaanalla on asiaa" ("Jaana has things to say") program on the **JaanaKavonius channel Rumble** and in numerous documentaries where she has been interviewed. **The evidence released by Kavonius on 19.4.2024 also includes written confessions of aggravated fraud by her defendants and written confessions** of District Judge Kirsti Hakola, who owed her children, contrary to which decisions have been taken to prevent criminal investigations into the network's crimes, and the network is deliberately attempting to impose an unjustified prison sentence on the victim of crime, has for years obstructed her compensation and collected the debt of fraud networks by distraining her assets.

All crimes committed by networks and the cult are felony. The deliberate obstruction of the criminal investigations is based on widespread official corruption and organised crime and means that Finland has violated its human rights and legality obligations in such a gross way that it has no right to be a member of the Council of Europe.

Unlawful imprisonment, persecution, staging, attempted murder and violence against Jaana Kavonius

After exposing a network that had been committing decades of professional crime and obtaining huge proceeds of crime with real evidence, and after exposing the global crime of aggravated genocide and fraud carried out through injections also known as coronavirus vaccines, members of the criminal network Jaana Kavonius exposed, began framing her innocently in prison. The staging of the charges and the obstruction of her political activities have been carried out in violation of the rules on disqualification, false reporting and

aggravated offences in office by prior arrangements, lying the false information and non-existent incident information used in the network's gross fraud and false reporting to be true and by blocking the entire evidence so that **completely correct Kavonius` information can be lied as "crimes"** in the network's prosecution charges and **the false information used in the networks`s and the cult`s crimes to be true contrary to evidence.**

Kavonius is the mother of 7 children. **She and all her children have been the subject of more than 10 attempted murders, 5 of them attempted murders by car targeted at Kavonius and her children and in two of these attempted murders by car were committed so that the cars also hit the children.** The list of human rights violations is attached to this request as evidence. Two over-run attempts were made on 7.8.2023 and 3.7.2024 targeting Kavonius herself and 3 of them targeting her children between 2013 and 2021. On 11.12.2019, Kavonius was targeted with an aggravated violent crime, in which her life was threatened at the same time. **The beater demanded that she must stop her revelations on virkamafia.fi pages about the network's and cult`s crimes, give up compensation for her home and employment, and give up the lawsuits, complaints and criminal cases she had brought, threatening that otherwise she would be killed and her children killed.**

Her home has been attacked dozens of times and the police have always refused to give Kavonius and her family any protection. By decision of Deputy Prosecutor General Jukka Rappe and State Prosecutor Anssi Hiivala on 31.5.2023, Kavonius has been subjected to all human rights violations and all serious crimes by deliberately lying in the decision that Kavonius, as the leader of the Truth Party and an unvaccinated person, should tolerate all possible crimes. The decision has also denied her any protection against defamation, and the decision subjected her to violent pornography, rape, labeling as a whore, slander as mentally ill, slander as a faecre, witch and cow, and gross defamation violating human dignity, without her being entitled to any protection against any crimes in Finland. **The decision means that she has been subjected to a criminal decision that exceeds the discretion of the official as being deprived of human rights.**

Approximately 100,000 crimes have been committed against Kavonius and her family between 1992 and 2024. They are all outrageous in their methods and also life-threatening. After the decision on 31.5.2023, Kavonius has been forced to live in a secret address for more than a year, separated from her family and her small child.

Her child Martti (b.3.6.2013) has also been subjected to strangulation, beating, kicking, spitting, tearing testicles, other brutal violence, attempted murder 26.5.2021 and constant threats of murder and violence at school and club in 2021-2024. From 6.3.2024 to 27.5.2024, violence and threats of murder have been directed at Martti, the school's pupils and teachers at the same time, **when a completely innocent mother who legally defended her child with absolutely correct information and politician Jaana Kavonius, who exposed the crimes of the official mafia and cult as a socially significant act with correct information and evidence, is imprisoned in absentia in Finland on 26.3.2024, 10.4.2024 and 28.6.2024 in a completely illegal process that did not consider any evidence of her innocence and, contrary**

to Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention, the hearing of all personal witnesses named as witnesses of her innocence

Thus, no witness has been heard, even though Article 6 does not allow such a trial, and the Geneva Refugee Convention and Section 3:6.3 of the Finnish Coercive Measures Act did not allow detention during Kavonius' legal impediment to illness and the asylum process. In addition, **imprisonment is maintained in violation of sections 3:15 and 2:13 of the Coercive Measures Act by preventing the processing of release claims submitted by Kavonius on 29.5.2024 and 1.7.2024, even though the statutory deadline for processing the claims is 4 days and the claim filed on 29.5.2024 must have been processed by 2.6.2024.**

In autumn 2023, Kavonius is wanted for allegedly "aggravated crimes" and presenting "false" information, even though her knowledge of the network's crimes and crimes against her child and herself is absolutely correct. There are no crimes committed by Kavonius. **For more than 30 years, evidence has been blocked in all processes by the same official mafia and cult members who frame the whistleblower and victim of their crimes, Kavonius, in prison precisely by preventing evidence of their own crimes.**

The same police officers and prosecutors who have imprisoned the innocent Kavonius and who are attempting to cause the sentence of more than 5 years in prison prohibited by the Criminal Code and the ECHR sentences in order to prevent the lawful exercise of freedom of expression by the innocent, have also prevented the investigation of the violence against Kavonius' little boy, all attempted homicides and all 100,000 crimes in order to subject Kavonius herself, her entire family, her party and members of her association to crime.

Kavonius has committed no crime, she is the teller of truth. Members of the authorities' mafia network, fraud networks and the cult she exposed have agreed to stage Kavonius, to use the unreasonable and unjustified prison sentences of more than 5 years prohibited by the Council of Europe, the ECHR, the Geneva Convention and the Convention on Human Rights in Finland **only against Jaana Kavonius** in order to prevent her legitimate freedom of speech and political opinion and the disclosure of crimes and **as a punishment for "defamation", even though imprisonment as a form of punishment has been prohibited in all Council of Europe member states by decisions of the Council of Europe and the ECHR since 2007.**

Jaana Kavonius is a political prisoner who is innocently subject to completely fabricated charges based on false information, publishes defamatory news about "tough charges" and is wanted in autumn 2023 for non-existent crimes to prevent participation in presidential and European elections and then widely discredited in the press as a "jailed aggravated criminal" by being pre-labelled guilty by stigmatising Italehti and other mainstream media reports.

What has not been reported in the news is, that Kavonius' prison sentence of more than 5 years for alleged "defamation" has been completely banned as a punishment of freedom

of speech, and telling the truth is not even a crime, so it must not be prosecuted at all. News and prosecution charges are scheduled for autumn 2023. The timing is intended to prevent Kavonius from collecting endorsement cards in order to stand as a candidate in the presidential elections and participate in the European elections. **Prosecutions, warrants and illegal coercive measures are also precisely political crimes and offences against the electoral process.**

What makes the crimes against Kavonius extremely serious is that she is completely innocent and the victim of 100,000 felonies. Everyone who has made false denunciations and accusations against her has understood her to be a victim of crime, and that their own actions are crimes. The judge staging the charges and executing the search and imprisonment of the innocent Kavonius is the very same Mika Illman who caused Kavonius' small children 140.000 euros "reasonably debts" without impeding any trial and taking evidence and in a case, where the children were entitled to compensation according to the clear laws and the decision made by the European Commission and because the authorities illegally published the children`s patient information and religious information.

In Finland, there is a criminal network within government organisations that does not comply with ANY laws.

Finland deliberately inflict an unreasonable and unjustified sentence of more than 5 years in prison prohibited by the ECHR and the Council of Europe on Kavonius, who is the only person in Finland to receive a tailor-made prison sentence equivalent to a homicide for an act that is not a crime in Finland or in any European state and democracy, and even though imprisonment may not be used as a punishment for freedom of expression contrary to the clear decisions of more than 20 ECHR judgments against Finland (see, for example, the ECHR ruling Mariapori vs. Finland and Selistö vs. Finland). Kavonius should not be prosecuted, imprisoned or wanted on any grounds. In order to avoid murder, rape and imprisonment, Kavonius has had to live in a secret security address for more than a year, change her address several times, become an asylum seeker and flee her home and homeland. **This is not a one-off case.** Already in the 1930s, Ernesti Hentunen, a Finnish lawyer who had been involved in exposing official offences, had to flee Finland from the persecution of freedom of speech by this same criminal network and live as a refugee in Europe for 8 years after publishing truths about Finnish crime in the newspaper "Trumpet of Truth".

The Finnish Ministers of Justice and the Chancellor of Justice are involved in the implementation of this crime, and the mainstream media has been deliberately covering up this aggravated crime for more than 30 years in order to lie to Finland as a state governed by the rule of law, even though *no official offences and the crimes of this network can be investigated, prosecuted and convicted in Finland in the manner prescribed in Article 6 of the Human Rights Convention and has not been investigated, prosecuted and convicted for more than 30 years.* Decades ago, respected lawyer Matti Wuori stated publicly that Finland is in the back row of constitutional states.

Doctor of Psychology Katariina Finnilä and Professor of Psychology Harry Lindahl, who acted as experts in Anneli Auer's and Jens Kukka's case, also publicly stated in the mainstream media in 2023 that the content of their expert statements has been distorted in court decisions and crimes are being carried out and covered up with encryption stamps. **Professor Finnilä stated publicly that charges are staged against completely innocent people in the Finnish judiciary, police and prosecution services using such outrageous methods that Finnilä no longer wants to play any role in the Finnish judiciary because she does not want to participate in criminal activities in which innocent people are deliberately staged in prison using completely illegal methods.**

The network's offences are carried out by preventing the right to initiate proceedings

Finland is a façade of the rule of law based on official crime, where no one has been able to investigate any official offence case and civil party prosecution in a normal way for more than 30 years, because the network revealed by Kavonius prevents the processing of all claims, appeals, extended permits, plaintiff charges and evidence with its own crimes. **In all crimes in the network, pre-trial investigations, charges and convictions are prevented and the network's solutions are not based on the processing of evidence, but on preventing it.**

15. Evidence collected by Kavonius of crimes involved with so-called corona vaccines

"Corona vaccines" were falsely marketed as "safe" and supposedly "vaccines". In Finland and in all "vaccinated" countries, the link between excess mortality and "corona vaccines" is absolutely clear.

Jaana Kavonius has published correct information about aggravated fraud and over-death-rate linked to a genocide crime carried out through "corona vaccinations", which is directly reflected in excess mortality statistics and the explosive 100-1000-fold increase in morbidity. In her publications, everything is correct information and is based on excellent jurisprudence, statistics and evidence:

- www.rumble.com in English [Truths and confessions about corona frauds, genocide and 2024 presidential election candidates \(rumble.com\)](https://www.rumble.com/truths-and-confessions-about-corona-frauds-genocide-and-2024-presidential-election-candidates) and the same in Finnish [Ruumiskonttien ja presidentinvaalien 2024 yhteys \(rumble.com\)](https://www.rumble.com/ruumiskonttien-ja-presidentinvaalien-2024-yhteys)
- "Jaana has to say" programmes (e.g. PART 7 and 11) <https://totuuspuolue.net/jaanalla-on-asiaa/osa-7> <https://totuuspuolue.net/jaanalla-on-asiaa/osa-11>
- in several comprehensive crime reports and evidence published on www.virkamafia.fi (e.g., 28.11.2021, 19.1.2022 and 31.12.2022) [Elvytyskusetus ja tutkintapyynnot - VirkaMafia](https://www.virkamafia.fi/elvytyskusetus-ja-tutkintapyynnot)) and on her Facebook profile

It is essential that:

- 1) *Finland had a statistical undermortality rate before "vaccinations"*

- 2) *The mortuaries have been full for 2 years only during the "corona vaccination" and the link between the completely abnormal excess mortality and the "corona vaccination" is perfectly clear and similar in all countries that participated in the "vaccination" (criminal human experiment).*
- 3) *"Vaccinations" were recommended and forced by the Finnish ex-President Sauli Niinistö, Prime Minister Sanna Marin, minister Krista Kiuru, other ministers and the pharmaceutical industry, among others, by deceptively lying that injections were researched, safe, and that they supposedly help prevent infection.*
- 4) ***Pfizer has admitted in the EU Commission that infection prevention has not even been studied and on 8.5.2024 AstraZeneca admitted that the vaccine harm was so great that it withdrew the harmful experimental injection marketed as a 'safe vaccine' from sale altogether (see evidence 13 in the list of evidence in this pleading).*** Yet in all countries, "coronavirus vaccines" were pressured to be taken precisely by lying about them to prevent infection, and marketing made fraudulent promises with exact infection prevention rates (e.g., allegedly 96% protection against infection, death and "severe forms of disease"), and decisions to force vaccination of young children, the elderly and health workers were also implemented through the fraudulent lying of "infection prevention".
- 5) ***In Finland, Chief Physician of Infectious Diseases Asko Järvinen and Chief Medical Officer Markku Mäkijärvi have stated publicly in the media and in their expert statement prepared on 6.9.2023 that the "coronavirus vaccine" does not help any disease, that none of the criteria of the Communicable Diseases Act have been met, and that this is a "vaccine against fear" and "political fog", i.e. de facto gross fraud, and that the WHO has illegally and unjustifiably upheld the definition of a pandemic without meeting the criteria for a pandemic (see evidence 13 in the list of evidence in this pleading).***
- 6) ***It is also clear from the statistics that the "corona vaccine" does not have a preventive effect on infections and deaths, but a lethal and seriously destructive. Those who die the most and get sick are those who have received the most "coronavirus vaccinations", i.e. in Finland, the elderly and those who have been subjected to forced vaccination decisions.***
- 7) ***The entire population was forced and misled into a lethal human experiment and lied about their "corona vaccine" providing "effective protection" against death and infection. The completely abnormal excess mortality among women between the ages of 39 and 45 concerns a group of people who were subjected to lethal human experimentation as a condition of employment and public service, lying about lethal experimental injections as "preventing, protective and effective." It is effective only in lethality.***
- 8) ***Corporate bankruptcies*** were also caused by closing companies with "infection prevention claims" and demanding a "vaccine passport", falsifying statistics (e.g. recording deaths in car accidents as "unvaccinated coronavirus deaths") and following a criminal method of recording those who died immediately or within 3 weeks from the experimental "coronavirus vaccination" as "unvaccinated".
- 9) Lack of news coverage of excess mortality and its connection to "coronavirus vaccinations" is an essential part of the execution of the worst genocide crime in history committed against the civil population.
- 10) ***While the completely abnormal excess mortality and morbidity is similar in all countries participating in the "vaccination" and gross fraud has been acknowledged, the main***

*perpetrators of genocide crime (e.g. doctor Lasse Lehtonen in Finland) have sought out TV programmes and the press to continue lying that excess mortality in Finland is supposedly due to "population obsolescence", even though the population has not aged for only 2 years in Finland and excess mortality does not exist only in Finland, but in all countries "vaccinated against coronavirus" and **those dying at the age of 39-45 are not elderly.***

Evidence in the criminal complaints and publicities Jaana Kavonius has filed includes:

- 1) Comprehensive statistics on excess mortality, the increase in the number of infections and diseases, and their clear link with "vaccinations", which were not vaccines, but lethal experimental injections.
- 2) THL's statistics, which show that "someone" changed the statistics on foetal deaths only a day before the horrific "Avo-Hilmo" statistics in Finland were published. The growth in the number of deaths under the age of 0 was faded by including them in the deaths of other children.
- 3) Statistics showing hundreds and thousands of percent increases in ALL illnesses and deaths after "vaccinations." Approximately 127,000 cases of the disease were diagnosed in Finland in 2019 and more than 7 million after the "coronavirus vaccinations" in 2022. Not reporting on this horrific fact is intentional.
- 4) *Written statements by doctors Asko Järvinen and Markku Mäkijärvi, who were used as expert witnesses on false vaccine information in forced vaccination decisions made by the state and in the mainstream media, in which they now acknowledge afterwards that*
 - A. *Minister Krista Kiuru's planned vaccinations and compulsory testing are a "political fog".*
 - B. *"Corona vaccines" do not help prevent corona disease and do not help with any disease, but have been based on intimidating the population, lying and the fact that Krista Kiuru made threatening phone calls to expert doctors in the evenings, forcing doctors to lie about the properties of "vaccines" in a medically untenable way.*
- 5) News: The director of the Tampere Vaccine Research Centre, **the department that defined "coronavirus vaccines" as safe, has been convicted of years of aggravated fraud.**
 - 1) Hidden facts about funding for the marketing of "vaccines": **THL, which recommended "corona vaccinations", has received funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Gates has similarly bribed all over the world to lie about the characteristics of "vaccines" in marketing and the link between the "coronavirus vaccine" and excess mortality is so clear that criminal proceedings and other official investigations are already underway in several countries (e.g. Slovakia, India, Japan, the United States, the United Kingdom), and in Finland, Anna Rotkirch, Chief Researcher of the Family Federation of Finland, in addition to Kavonius and tens of thousands of doctors and several lawyers, has called for the need of impartial investigation of the "coronavirus vaccines" and excess mortality and vaccine harms and for example the Danish media have issued a public apology to the "unvaccinated" for false and stigmatising reporting. At the same time in Finland, those responsible for vaccine crimes, are framing the truth-teller lawyer and opposition party leader Jaana Kavonius for years innocent in prison in order to silence the truth and the authorities` mafia ("virkamafia") led by the Deputy Prosecutor General Jukka Rappe, has subjected Kavonius to adultery,**

sexual harassment, rape, belt violence, fraud, stamped as a whore, discrimination, attempted murder and other aggravated crime precisely as an "unvaccinated" leader of a party called the Truth.

In Finland, the Supreme Court ruled already in 1995 in its ruling (KKO 1995:53) that when a state actually carries out compulsory vaccinations and uses an experimental vaccine, it is liable, regardless of its negligence, for vaccine deaths and for all personal injuries and other damages caused by the vaccine.

The decision concerned an experimental polio vaccine and the serious illness it caused to the child. The "coronavirus vaccine" is a global outrageous crime perpetrated by perpetrators who have deliberately sought to kill populations with a "vaccine", fraudulently tricked people into taking a lethal experimental injection, and carried out criminal discrimination against all those "unvaccinated" who thought with their own brains and did not submit to being killed.

Jaana Kavonius is an "unvaccinated party leader" and because she is brave enough to fight the Finnish authorities` mafia, the cult and the global criminal mafia, in order to silence her truth, the perpetrators try to silence her by killing her with attempted murders, denying a hospital referral to prevent treatment for severe oxygen deprivation and other hospitalization, and **have imprisoned her as a "gross criminal" even though she is only a truth-teller.**

All vaccinated and unvaccinated people and corporates around the world are entitled by the evidence she has presented to demand compensation from globalist criminals, manufacturers of the "corona vaccine", marketers and also their sentencing to the penalty by the ICC court.

16. Appendices and evidence

Attached and evidenced are:

- 1) Medical evidence of violence against Kavonius on 11.12.2019 and torture
- 2) Photos of Kavonius' home before and after the destruction
- 3) **A) Rappe`s and Hiivala's decision 31.5.2023 by which Kavonius was subjected to aggravated crimes and B) **examples of exhortations to rape, threats of murder and pornographic material** and C) **a list of aggravated defamations against Kavonius, which the decisions** of the Finnish Deputy Prosecutor General Rappe, State Prosecutor Hiivala and the police **allege were directed at Kavonius as "an appropriate criticism of her trade, profession and politics"****
- 4) Example of cult leader Juha Ruohonen's threat of death and theft of property of cult victims (authentic recording material)
- 5) List of human rights violations against Kavonius
- 6) ANNEX 1-3 (list of officials and persons who have carried out unlawful decisions, staging and profited from the network's crimes)
- 7) Memorandum prepared by the police and prosecutors on 12.12.2018, used to block investigations in 120.000-140.000 crimes annually and to frame Kavonius and 500

innocent people to prison every year and news about the framing and blocked investigations

- 8) Iltalehti's 30.5.2023 and 4.3.2024 news, in which Kavonius' reputation has been branded as a wanted aggravated criminal
- 9) Memorandum to Kavonius' request for exemption submitted to the Supreme Court on 10.6.2024
- 10) Evidence of legal impediment to illness
- 11) Example of OCR forgery (genuine and forged page 3 of Wallin's closing statement)
- 12) Confessions by doctors Asko Järvinen and Markku Mäkijärvi in 2023 for the falsehood of marketing of "coronavirus vaccines" and pandemic information, statement against WHO mandates and AstraZeneca's 8.5.2024 recognition of the harms and recalls of injections and examples of excess mortality statistics
- 13) Kavonius' 7.3.2024 asylum applications
- 14) Kavonius' lists of witnesses she demanded to be examined for his innocence (none of these were examined)
- 15) Supreme Court decision of 28.6.2024 (the decision does not take any position on the impediment to illness, the asylum application, standards, any evidence presented by Kavonius, facts and the decision was made by preventing the hearing of all personal witnesses named by Kavonius and in Kavonius' absence)
- 16) Cover and shipment information of the exemption request sent by Kavonius on 29.5.2024 (the claim should have been processed by 2.6.2024, the blocking of release and the blocking of processing still continues)**
- 17) The ECHR ruling Mariapori vs. Suomi 6.7.2010, violated by Finland with imprisonment, charges and a demand for more than 5 years in prison against Kavonius**

Laitila 19.7.2024



Licensing Lawyer, LL.M.

At the security address 19.7.2024



Jaana Kavonius
 Legal Counsel, LL.M. (retired)
 Truth Party r.p. party leader
 Chairman of the Finnish Legal Protection
 Centre
 A victim of crime and asylum seeker

Rovaniemi 19.7.2024



Liisa Mariapori, Yrittäjien tuki ry chairman